

STATE OF TENNESSEE COMPTROLLER OF THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT OF AUDIT

DIVISION OF COUNTY AUDIT

SUITE 1500 JAMES K. POLK STATE OFFICE BUILDING NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0269 PHONE (615) 401-7841

June 10, 2008

<u>MEMORANDUM</u>

TO: The Honorable John G. Morgan

Comptroller of the Treasury

FROM: Richard V. Norment

Assistant to the Comptroller

SUBJECT: Report of Centralized Budgeting, Accounting, and Purchasing Systems;

Report on the Status of Compliance with GASB Statement No. 34; Report of Counties Attaining the GFOA Certificate for Excellence in Financial Reporting; and the Report of Counties with Centralized Cafeteria Systems –

for the Year Ended June 30, 2007

The attached report summarizes for the year ended June 30, 2007, (1) Tennessee counties with centralized systems for budgeting, accounting, and purchasing; (2) status of compliance with GASB Statement No. 34 by Tennessee counties; (3) counties attaining the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting awarded by the GFOA; and (4) counties with centralized cafeteria systems.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding these reports.

Attachments

This report is available at www.comptroller.state.tn.us

REPORT OF CENTRALIZED BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING, AND PURCHASING SYSTEMS: REPORT ON THE STATUS OF COMPLIANCE WITH GASB STATEMENT NO. 34; REPORT OF COUNTIES ATTAINING THE GFOA CERTIFICATE FOR EXCELLENCE IN FINANCIAL REPORTING; AND THE REPORT OF COUNTIES WITH CENTRALIZED CAFETERIA SYSTEMS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

summary of Centralized Systems for Budgeting, Accounting, and Purchasing Systems	Exhibit A
Report of Centralized Budgeting, Accounting, and Purchasing Systems	Exhibit B
Report on the Status of Compliance with GASB Statement No. 34	Exhibit C
Report of Counties Attaining the GFOA Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting	Exhibit D
Report of Counties With Centralized Cafeteria Systems	Exhibit E

Exhibit A

TENNESSEE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS SUMMARY OF CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS FOR BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING, AND PURCHASING FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

INCLUDES ALL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES:

Number of Counties

Legislative Authority	Budgeting	Accounting	Purchasing
1957 Fiscal Control Act	4	4	3
1981 Financial Management Act	20	20	20
1993 Budget Law	3	0	0
Private Act/Charter	3	2	5
Total	30	26	28

INCLUDES COUNTY MAYORS AND HIGHWAY DEPARTMENTS ONLY:

Number of Counties

Legislative Authority	Budgeting	Accounting	Purchasing
			_
1957 Fiscal Control Act	8	8	8
1981 Financial Management Act	1	1	1
Private Act/Charter	7	7	6
County Resolution	1	1	1
Total	17	17	16
Grand Total	47	43	44

TENNESSEE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS BY COUNTY FOR BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING, AND PURCHASING FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

INCLUDES ALL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES:

	Population 2000 Census	Budgeting	Accounting	Purchasing
A. 1957 Fiscal C	lantral Ast			
A. 1957 FISCAI C	ontrol Act			
1. Anderson	71,330	X	X	X
2. Johnson	17,499	X	X	(1)
3. Loudon	39,086	X	X	X
4. Roane	51,910	X	X	X
3. 1981 Financia	l Management Act	t		
1. Bedford	37,586	X	X	X
2. Blount (2)	105,823	X	X	X
3. Campbell	39,854	X	X	X
4. Carter	56,742	X	X	X
5. Cumberland	48,602	X	X	X
6. Fentress	16,625	X	X	X
7. Franklin	39,270	X	X	X
8. Henderson	25,522	X	X	X
9. Hickman	$22,\!295$	X	X	X
10. Jefferson	44,294	X	X	X
11. Lincoln	31,340	X	X	X
12. Madison	91,837	X	X	X
13. McMinn	49,015	X	X	X
14. Monroe	38,961	X	X	X
15. Morgan	19,757	X	X	X
16. Rhea	28,400	X	X	X
17. Robertson	54,433	X	X	X
18. Scott	21,127	X	X	X
19. Weakley	34,895	X	X	X
20. White	23,102	X	X	X
. 1993 Budget L	aw			
1. Decatur	11,731	X		
2. Hardin	25,578	X		
3. McNairy	24,653	X		

TENNESSEE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS BY COUNTY FOR BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING, AND PURCHASING (Cont.)

INCLUDES ALL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES (cont.):

D. Private Act/Charter

1. DeKalb	17,423			
2. Hardeman	28,105			X
3. Hawkins	53,563		(3)	X
4. Knox	382,032	X	X	X
5. Rutherford	182,023	X	X	
6. Sullivan	153,048	(4)	(4)	X
7. Williamson	126,638	X	(5)	(5)

INCLUDES COUNTY MAYORS/HIGHWAY DEPARTMENTS ONLY:

	Population	-		
	2000 Census	Budgeting	Accounting	Purchasing
A. 1957 Fiscal Co	ntrol Act			
1. Cheatham	35,912	X	X	(6)
2. Cocke	33,565	X	X	X
3. Coffee	48,014	X	X	X
4. Greene	62,909	X	X	X
5. Lawrence	39,926	X	X	X
6. Montgomery	134,768	X	X	X
7. Overton	20,118	X	X	X
8. Polk	16,050			X
9. Washington	107,198	X	X	X
B. 1981 Financial	l Management Act	;		
1. Wilson (7)	88,809	X	X	X
C. Private Act/Ch	narter			
				X
1. Carroll	29,475	X	X	X
2. Davidson	569,891	X	X	X
3. Hamilton	307,896	X	X	X
4. Marshall	26,767	X	X	X
5. Maury	69,498	X	X	(1)
6. Meigs	11,086	X	X	X
7. Shelby	897,472	X	X	X
8. Sumner (8)	130,449	X	X	
D. County Resolu	ıtion			
1. Hamblen (9)	58,128	X	X	X

TENNESSEE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS CENTRALIZED SYSTEMS BY COUNTY FOR BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING, AND PURCHASING (Cont.)

Footnotes:

- (1) Purchasing is governed by the 1957 Fiscal Control Acts but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (2) Blount County began operations under the 1981 Financial Management Act effective July 1, 2005. Previously, Blount County had operated under the 1957 Fiscal Control Acts. Blount County will revert to the 1957 Fiscal Control Acts effective July 1, 2007.
- (3) Accounting is governed by a private act but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (4) Accounting and budgeting are governed by the 1957 Fiscal Control Acts but only include the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (5) Accounting and purchasing are governed by the 1957 Fiscal Control Acts but only include the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (6) Purchasing is governed by a private act but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (7) Effective July 1, 1995, the Wilson County School Department was removed from the and Highway Department.
- (8) During the 2002-03 year, Sumner County adopted a private act providing for a centralized accounting, budgeting, and purchasing system for all funds administered by the Offices of County Mayor, Director of Schools, and Highway Superintendent. This act also provides that the School Department and/or Highway Department may perform any of the accounting, purchasing, or budgeting functions for their departments with the approval of the County Commission. The County Commission has approved for the School Department to perform their own accounting, budgeting, and purchasing and has approved the Highway Department to perform their own purchasing.
- (9) During the 2002-03 year, the county mayor and County Commission entered into a formal contract establishing a centralized accounting, budgeting, and purchasing system under the direction of a Finance Office for all funds administered by the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Superintendent.

Notes:

The Metropolitan Charter of Moore County provides for a centralized system of accounting, Budgeting, and purchasing; however, a centralized system had not been implemented as of June 30, 2007.

STATUS OF COMPLIANCE WITH GASB STATEMENT NO. 34 BY TENNESSEE COUNTIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

				County
				Operates
		County	Schools	Under a
		Complied	Complied	Centralized
	County	With GASB 34	With GASB 34	System
1.	Anderson	Yes	Yes	Yes - 57 Acts
2.	Bedford	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
3.	Benton	Yes	Yes	No
4.	Bledsoe	No	Yes	No
5.	Blount	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
6.	Bradley	Yes	Yes	No
7.	Campbell	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
8.	Cannon	No	No	No
9.	Carroll	Yes	Yes	No
10.	Carter	No	No	Yes - 81 Acts
11.	Cheatham	Yes	Yes	No
12.	Chester	Yes	Yes	No
13.	Claiborne	No	Yes	No
14.	Clay	No	Yes	No
15.	Cocke	No	Yes	No
16.	Coffee	Yes	No	No
17.	Crockett	No	Yes	No
18.	Cumberland	Yes	No	Yes - 81 Acts
19.	Davidson	Yes	Yes	No
20.	Decatur	Yes	Yes	No
21.	Dekalb	No	No	No
22.	Dickson	Yes	Yes	No
23.	Dyer	Yes	Yes	No
24.	Fayette	Yes	Yes	No
25.	Fentress	No	No	Yes - 81 Acts
26.	Franklin	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts

STATUS OF COMPLIANCE WITH GASB STATEMENT NO. 34 BY TENNESSEE COUNTIES (Cont.)

				County
				Operates
		County	Schools	Under a
		Complied	Complied	Centralized
	County	With GASB 34	With GASB 34	System
27.	Gibson	Yes	Yes	No
28.	Giles	No	Yes	No
29.	Grainger	Yes	Yes	No
30.	Greene	Yes	Yes	No
31.	Grundy	No	No	No
32.	Hamblin	Yes	Yes	No
33.	Hamilton	Yes	Yes	No
34.	Hancock	Yes	Yes	No
35 .	Hardeman	Yes	Yes	No
36.	Hardin	Yes	Yes	No
37.	Hawkins	Yes	Yes	Yes - Private Act
38.	Haywood	Yes	Yes	No
39.	Henderson	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
40.	Henry	Yes	Yes	No
41.	Hickman	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
42.	Houston	Yes	Yes	No
43.	Humphreys	Yes	Yes	No
44.	Jackson	No	No	No
45.	Jefferson	Yes	Yes	No
46.	Johnson	Yes	Yes	Yes - 57 Acts
47.	Knox	Yes	Yes	Yes - Private Act
48.	Lake	No	No	No
49.	Lauderdale	Yes	Yes	No
50.	Lawrence	Yes	Yes	No
51.	Lewis	Yes	Yes	No
5 2.	Lincoln	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
53.	Loudon	Yes	Yes	Yes - 57 Acts

STATUS OF COMPLIANCE WITH GASB STATEMENT NO. 34 BY TENNESSEE COUNTIES (Cont.)

				County
				Operates
		County	Schools	Under a
		Complied	Complied	Centralized
	County	With GASB 34	With GASB 34	System
54.	Macon	No	Yes	No
55 .	Madison	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
56.	Marion	No	No	No
57.	Marshall	Yes	Yes	No
58.	Maury	Yes	Yes	No
59.	McMinn	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
60.	McNairy	No	Yes	No
61.	Meigs	No	Yes	No
62.	Monroe	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
63.	Montgomery	Yes	Yes	No
64.	Moore	Yes	Yes	No
65.	Morgan	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
66.	Obion	Yes	Yes	No
67.	Overton	No	No	No
68.	Perry	No	No	No
69.	Pickett	No	Yes	No
70.	Polk	No	No	No
71.	Putnam	Yes	Yes	No
72.	Rhea	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
73.	Roane	Yes	Yes	Yes - 57 Acts
74.	Robertson	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
85.	Rutherford	Yes	Yes	No
86.	Scott	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
77.	Sequatchie	No	Yes	No
78.	Sevier	Yes	Yes	No
79.	Shelby	Yes	Yes	No
80.	Smith	No	Yes	No

STATUS OF COMPLIANCE WITH GASB STATEMENT NO. 34 BY TENNESSEE COUNTIES (Cont.)

				County
				Operates
		County	Schools	Under a
		Complied	Complied	Centralized
	County	With GASB 34	With GASB 34	System
81.	Stewart	No	Yes	No
82.	Sullivan	Yes	Yes	No
83.	Sumner	Yes	Yes	No
84.	Tipton	Yes	Yes	No
85.	Trousdale	No	No	No
86.	Unicoi	Yes	Yes	No
87.	Union	Yes	Yes	No
88.	Van Buren	No	Yes	No
89.	Warren	Yes	No	No
90.	Washington	Yes	Yes	No
91.	Wayne	Yes	Yes	No
92.	Weakley	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
93.	White	Yes	Yes	Yes - 81 Acts
94.	Williamson	Yes	Yes	No
95.	Wilson	Yes	Yes	No

STATUS OF COMPLIANCE WITH GASB STATEMENT NO. 34 BY TENNESSEE COUNTIES (Cont.)

Analysis of the Data:

Compliance With GASB 34 - All 95 Counties

	Counties	Schools	
Complied	69 or 73%	80 or 84%	
Failed to Comply	26 or $27%$	$15 \ \mathrm{or} \ 16\%$	

Centralized Systems (25 counties)

Complied	22 or 88%
Partially Complied	1 or 4%
Failed to Comply	2 or 8%

Non-centralized Systems (70 counties)

Complied	$44~\mathrm{or}~63\%$
Partially Complied	16 or 23%
Failed to Comply	10 or 14%

EXHIBIT D

TENNESSEE COUNTIES ACHIEVING THE CERTIFICATE OF ACHIEVEMENT FOR EXCELLENCE IN FINANCIAL REPORTING FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

County

- 1. Anderson
- 2. Blount
- 3. Davidson
- 4. Greene
- 5. Hamilton
- 6. Knox
- 7. Rutherford
- 8. Shelby

ALPHABETICAL LIST OF COUNTIES WITH CENTRALIZED CAFETERIA SYSTEMS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

1. Anderson 2. Bedford 3. Bledsoe 4. Blount 5. Bradley 6. Campbell 7. Cannon 8. Carroll * 9. Carter 10. Cheatham 11. Chester 12. Clay 13. Cocke 14. Coffee 15. Crockett 16. Cumberland 17. Dekalb 18. Dickson 19. Dyer 20. Fayette 21. Fentress 22. Franklin 23. Giles 24. Grainger 25. Greene 26. Hamblen 27. Hancock 28. Hardin 29. Hawkins 30. Haywood 31. Henry 32. Hickman 33. Houston

34. Humphreys

36. Jefferson *

35. Jackson

37. Johnson

38. Lauderdale 39. Lawrence 40. Lewis 41. McNairy 42. Madison 43. Marion 44. Marshall 45. Maury 46. Meigs 47. Monroe 48. Montgomery* 49. Moore 50. Morgan 51. Obion 52. Overton 53. Perry 54. Pickett 55. Polk 56. Putnam 57. Rhea 58. Roane

62. Smith
63. Stewart
64. Sullivan *
65. Sumner *
66. Tipton
67. Unicoi
68. Union
69. Van Buren
70. Warren
71. Weakley

59. Scott

61. Sevier

60. Sequatchie

72. White

^{73.} Williamson 74. Wilson

^{*} Centralized through General Purpose School Fund