

#### STATE OF TENNESSEE COMPTROLLER OF THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT OF AUDIT

DIVISION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT AUDIT **SUITE 1500** JAMES K. POLK STATE OFFICE BUILDING NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-1402 PHONE (615) 401-7841

May 12, 2015

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO:

FROM:

Report of Centralized Budgeting, Accounting, and Purchasing Systems; SUBJECT:

Report of Centralized To Report Report of Counties Attaining the GFOA Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting; and the Report of Counties with

Centralized Cafeteria Systems – for the Year Ended June 30, 2014

The attached report summarizes for the year ended June 30, 2014, (1) Tennessee counties with centralized budgeting, accounting, and purchasing systems; (2) counties attaining the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting awarded by the GFOA; and (3) counties with centralized cafeteria systems.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding these reports.

Attachments

This report is available at www.comptroller.tn.gov

# REPORT OF CENTRALIZED BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING, AND PURCHASING SYSTEMS; REPORT OF COUNTIES ATTAINING THE GOVERNMENT FINANCE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION (GFOA) CERTIFICATE OF ACHIEVEMENT FOR EXCELLENCE IN FINANCIAL REPORTING; AND THE REPORT OF COUNTIES WITH CENTRALIZED CAFETERIA SYSTEMS

#### FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS:

		Page(s)
Summary of Centralized Budgeting, Accounting, and Purchasing Systems	Exhibit A	3
Report of Centralized Budgeting, Accounting, and Purchasing Systems	Exhibit B	4-7
Report of Counties Attaining the GFOA Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting	Exhibit C	8
Report of Counties With Centralized Cafeteria Systems	Exhibit D	9

#### Exhibit A

#### TENNESSEE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS SUMMARY OF CENTRALIZED BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING, AND PURCHASING SYSTEMS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014

Number of Counties With Some Variation of Centralization:	58
<b>Number of Counties With No Centralized Accounting:</b>	43
<b>Number of Counties With No Centralization:</b>	37

#### **INCLUDES ALL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES:**

#### **Number of Counties**

Legislative Authority	Budgeting	Accounting	Purchasing	
1957 Fiscal Control Act	5	5	4	
1981 Financial Management Act	23	23	23	
1993 Budget Law	5	0	0	
Private Act/Charter	3	2	5	
m 1		20		
Total	36	30	32	

### INCLUDES COUNTY MAYORS AND HIGHWAY DEPARTMENTS ONLY:

#### **Number of Counties**

ng
12
1
8
1
22
54

#### TENNESSEE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS CENTRALIZED BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING, AND PURCHASING SYSTEMS BY COUNTY FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014

#### INCLUDES ALL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES:

		Population 2010 Census	Budgeting	Accounting	Purchasing
A.	1957 Fiscal Cont	trol Act			
1.	Anderson	75,129	X	X	X
2.	Blount (1) (2)	123,010	X	X	X
3.	Johnson (2) (3)	18,244	X	X	_
4.	Loudon	48,556	X	X	X
5.	Roane	54,181	X	X	X
В.	1981 Financial M	anagement Act (No	ote A)		
1.	Bedford	45,058	X	X	X
2.	Campbell	40,716	X	X	X
3.	Carter	57,424	X	X	X
4.	Claiborne	32,213	X	X	X
5.	Cumberland	56,053	X	X	X
6.	Fentress	17,959	X	X	X
7.	Franklin	41,052	X	X	X
8.	Giles	29,485	X	X	X
9.	Henderson	27,769	X	X	X
10.	Hickman	24,690	X	X	X
11.	Jefferson	51,407	X	X	X
12.	Lincoln	33,361	X	X	X
13.	Madison	98,294	X	X	X
14.	McMinn	52,266	X	X	X
15.	Monroe	44,519	X	X	X
16.	Morgan	21,987	X	X	X
17.	Rhea	31,809	X	X	X
18.	Robertson	66,283	X	X	X
19.	Scott	22,228	X	X	X
20.	Union	19,109	X	X	X
21.	Warren	39,839	X	X	X
22.	Weakley	35,021	X	X	X
23.	White	25,841	X	X	X
С.	1993 Budget Law				
1.	Blount (2)	123,010	X	_	_
2.	Decatur	11,757	X	_	_
3.	DeKalb (2)	18,723	X	_	_
4.	Hardin	26,026	X	_	_
_	3537.	22.2	**		

X

26,075

5. McNairy

#### TENNESSEE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS CENTRALIZED BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING, AND PURCHASING SYSTEMS BY COUNTY (Cont.)

		Population			
		2010 Census	Budgeting	Accounting	Purchasing
INC	CLUDES ALL DEP.	ARTMENTS AND	AGENCIES (Cont.)	<b>):</b>	
D.	Private Act/Chart	er (Note B)			
1.	DeKalb (2)	18,723	_	_	X
2.	Hardeman	$27,\!253$	_	_	X
3.	Hawkins (2) (4)	56,833	_	_	X
4.	Knox	432,226	X	X	X
5.	Rutherford	262,604	X	X	_
6.	Sullivan (2) (5)	156,823	_	_	X
7.	Williamson (2) (6)	183,182	X	_	-
INC	CLUDES COUNTY	MAYORS/HIGHW	AY DEPARTMENT	S ONLY:	
<b>A.</b>	1957 Fiscal Contro	ol Act			
1.	Cheatham (2) (7)	39,105	X	X	_
2.	Cocke	35,662	X	X	X
3.	Coffee (2)	52,796	_	_	X
4.	Dickson	49,666	X	X	X
5.	Greene	68,831	X	X	X
6.	Lawrence	41,869	X	X	X
7.	Maury (2) (8)	80,956	_	_	X
8.	Montgomery	172,331	X	X	X
9.	Overton	22,083	X	X	X
10.	Polk	16,825	_	_	X
11.	Washington	122,979	X	X	X
12.	Johnson (2)	18,244	_	_	X
13.	Sullivan (2)	156,823	X	X	_
14.	Williamson (2)	183,182	-	X	X
В.	1981 Financial Ma	nagement Act			
1.	Wilson (9)	113,993	X	X	X

#### TENNESSEE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS CENTRALIZED BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING, AND PURCHASING SYSTEMS BY COUNTY (Cont.)

		Population					
		2010 Census	Budgeting	Accounting	Purchasing		
	INCLUDES COUNTY MAYORS/HIGHWAY DEPARTMENTS ONLY (Cont.):						
<b>C.</b>	Private Act/Chart	er					
1.	Carroll	28,522	X	X	X		
2.	Davidson	626,681	X	X	X		
3.	Hamilton	336,463	X	X	X		
4.	Marshall	30,617	X	X	X		
5.	Maury (2)	80,956	X	X	_		
6.	Meigs	11,753	X	X	X		
7.	Shelby	927,644	X	X	X		
8.	Sumner (10) (11)	160,645	X	X	X		
9.	Cheatham (2)	39,105	_	_	X		
10.	Hawkins (2)	56,833	_	X	_		
D.	D. County Resolution						
1.	Hamblen (12)	62,544	X	X	X		
2.	Coffee (2)	52,796	X	X	_		

#### Footnotes:

- (1) Blount County has adopted the both the 1957 Ficsal Control Acts and the 1993 Budget Law. The 1993 Budget Law superceeds the 1957 acts on some budgeting issues.
- (2) County is also listed in another section of this report.
- (3) Purchasing is governed by the 1957 Fiscal Control Acts but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (4) Accounting is governed by a private act but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (5) Accounting and budgeting are governed by the 1957 Fiscal Control Acts but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (6) Accounting and purchasing are governed by the 1957 Fiscal Control Acts but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (7) Purchasing is governed by a private act but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.

#### TENNESSEE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS CENTRALIZED BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING, AND PURCHASING SYSTEMS BY COUNTY (Cont.)

- (8) Accounting and budgeting are governed by a private act but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (9) Effective July 1, 1995, the Wilson County School Department was removed from the provisions of the Financial Management Act of 1981.
- (10) Sumner County operates under a private act that provides for a centralized accounting, budgeting, and purchasing system for all funds administered by the Offices of County Mayor, Director of Schools, and Highway Superintendent. This act also provides that the School Department and/or Highway Department may perform any of the accounting, purchasing, or budgeting functions for their departments with the approval of the County Commission. The County Commission has approved for the School Department to perform their own accounting, budgeting, and purchasing and has approved the Highway Department to perform their own purchasing.
- (11) On June 18, 2012, Sumner County adopted Chapter 70, Private Acts of 2012, which provides for a central system of accounting, budgeting, and purchasing covering all funds of the county. However, the act states in part "...this 2012 Finance Act shall not serve as authorization to remove any purchasing activities or authority from the School System." Sumner County plans to fully implement the private act by June 18, 2016.
- (12) The county mayor and County Commission have entered into a formal contract establishing a centralized accounting, budgeting, and purchasing system under the direction of a Finance Office for all funds administered by the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Superintendent.

#### Notes:

(A) The Metropolitan Charter of Moore County provides for a centralized system of purchasing; however, a centralized system had not been implemented as of June 30, 2014.

#### **EXHIBIT C**

#### TENNESSEE COUNTIES ACHIEVING THE CERTIFICATE OF ACHIEVEMENT FOR EXCELLENCE IN FINANCIAL REPORTING FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014

#### County

- 1. Anderson
- 2. Bedford
- 3. Bradley
- 4. Davidson
- 5. Hamilton
- 6. Knox
- 7. Rutherford
- 8. Shelby

#### **Exhibit D**

## ALPHABETICAL LIST OF COUNTIES WITH CENTRALIZED CAFETERIA SYSTEMS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2014

1.	Anderson	31.	Hamilton	61.	Moore
2.	Bedford	32.	Hancock	62.	Morgan
3.	Benton	33.	Hardeman	63.	Obion
4.	Bledsoe	34.	Hardin	64.	Overton
5.	Blount	35.	Hawkins	65.	Perry
6.	Bradley	36.	Haywood	66.	Pickett
7.	Campbell	37.	Henderson	67.	Polk
8.	Cannon	38.	Henry	68.	Putnam
9.	Carroll *	39.	Hickman	69.	Rhea
10.	Carter	40.	Houston	70.	Roane
11.	Cheatham	41.	Humphreys	71.	Rutherford
12.	Chester	42.	Jackson	72.	Scott
13.	Claiborne	43.	Jefferson	73.	Sequatchie
14.	Clay	44.	Johnson	74.	Sevier
15.	Cocke	45.	Knox	75.	Smith
16.	Coffee	46.	Lauderdale	76.	Stewart
17.	Crockett	47.	Lawrence	77.	Sullivan *
18.	Cumberland	48.	Lewis	78.	Sumner *
19.	Davidson	49.	Lincoln	79.	Tipton
20.	Dekalb	50.	Loudon	80.	Unicoi
21.	Dickson	51.	Macon	81.	Union
22.	Dyer	52.	Madison	82.	Van Buren
23.	Fayette	53.	Marion	83.	Warren
24.	Fentress	54.	Marshall	84.	Washington
25.	Franklin	55.	Maury	85.	Wayne
26.	Giles	56.	McMinn	86.	Weakley
27.	Grainger	57.	McNairy	87.	White
28.	Greene	58.	Meigs	88.	Williamson
29.	Grundy	59.	Monroe	89.	Wilson
30.	Hamblen	60.	Montgomery		

<sup>\*</sup> Centralized through the General Purpose School Fund