STATE OF TENNESSEE

Justin P. Wilson

Comptroller

COMPTROLLER OF THE TREASURY

STATE CAPITOL

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-0264

PHONE (615) 741-2501

January 15, 2010

MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Ron Ramsey, Speaker of the Senate
The Honorable Kent Williams, Speaker of the House of Representatives
Member of the House and Senate Judiciary Committees
Members of the Judicial Council

FROM: Justin P. Wilson
Comptroller of the Treasury

SUBJECT: Tennessee District Attorneys General and District Public Defenders Weighted Caseload Studies

Tennessee Code Annotated 16-2-513 requires the Comptroller of the Treasury to maintain and update a weighted caseload study for the state judges, district attorneys, and public defenders. Due to insufficient data and outdated case weights, the Comptroller's Office will not publish FY 2009 weighted caseload studies for Tennessee's District Attorneys General and District Public Defenders. Also, we have reviewed the data and results of the last estimates produced by the current weighted caseload models in January 2007 (using FY 2006 data) and find them to be questionable.

The weighted caseload studies are intended to provide objective information for the judicial, executive, and legislative budgetary deliberations for Public Defenders and District Attorneys. Weighted caseload models provide an effective means to project and compare the need for attorneys based on objective data of the time requirements for different types of cases, as well as other workload requirements. However, accurate estimates based on current circumstances and comparable caseload data are needed to determine the need for attorneys in these offices.

The current models were developed ten years ago and no longer appear to accurately project the number of attorneys needed in District Attorneys' and Public Defenders' offices. Consultants developed the current weighted caseload models in 1999 based on small samples and estimated case counts. The National Center for State Courts recommends updates of weighted caseload models every five to seven years. Unexplained differences in the caseload data and case definitions from the original studies, and inconsistencies in the projections from the current models indicate a need for revised models for Tennessee.

Although the caseload data has improved, several inconsistencies and inaccuracies will affect the reliability of any model to project the need for attorneys and its applicability for years to come. These issues include:

- the accuracy and consistency in reporting General Sessions criminal case data,
- the differences in the case definitions used for the Public Defender and District Attorney studies, and
- the variability in the number of cases reported by district.

The Comptroller's Office will continue to work with the Tennessee District Attorneys General Conference, the Tennessee District Public Defenders Conference, and the Administrative Office of the Courts to improve the quality and comparability of the data used in the weighted caseload studies. If these issues can be sufficiently improved, then we would recommend funding for new weighted caseload studies.

The Comptroller's Office will provide an update for FY 2009 for the judges.

If you have any questions, please call.

JPW/scm