



STATE OF TENNESSEE
COMPTROLLER OF THE TREASURY
DEPARTMENT OF AUDIT
DIVISION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT AUDIT
SUITE 1500
JAMES K. POLK STATE OFFICE BUILDING
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-1402
PHONE (615) 401-7841

June 1, 2016

MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Justin P. Wilson
Comptroller of the Treasury

FROM: Jim Arnette
Director of Local Government Audit

SUBJECT: Report of Centralized Budgeting, Accounting, and Purchasing Systems;
Report of Counties Attaining the GFOA Certificate of Achievement for
Excellence in Financial Reporting; and the Report of Counties with
Centralized Cafeteria Systems – for the Year Ended June 30, 2015



The attached report summarizes for the year ended June 30, 2015, (1) Tennessee counties with centralized budgeting, accounting, and purchasing systems; (2) counties attaining the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting awarded by the GFOA; and (3) counties with centralized cafeteria systems.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding these reports.

Attachments

This report is available at www.comptroller.tn.gov

REPORT OF CENTRALIZED BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING, AND PURCHASING SYSTEMS; REPORT OF COUNTIES ATTAINING THE GOVERNMENT FINANCE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION (GFOA) CERTIFICATE OF ACHIEVEMENT FOR EXCELLENCE IN FINANCIAL REPORTING; AND THE REPORT OF COUNTIES WITH CENTRALIZED CAFETERIA SYSTEMS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

		Page(s)
Summary of Centralized Budgeting, Accounting, and Purchasing Systems	Exhibit A	3
Report of Centralized Budgeting, Accounting, and Purchasing Systems	Exhibit B	4-7
Report of Counties Attaining the GFOA Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting	Exhibit C	8
Report of Counties With Centralized Cafeteria Systems	Exhibit D	9

Exhibit A

**TENNESSEE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS
SUMMARY OF CENTRALIZED BUDGETING,
ACCOUNTING, AND PURCHASING SYSTEMS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015**

Number of Counties With Some Variation of Centralization:	58
Number of Counties With No Centralized Accounting:	43
Number of Counties With No Centralization:	37

INCLUDES ALL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES:

Legislative Authority	<u>Number of Counties</u>		
	Budgeting	Accounting	Purchasing
1957 Fiscal Control Act	5	5	4
1981 Financial Management Act	23	23	23
1993 Budget Law	5	0	0
Private Act/Charter	3	2	5
Total	36	30	32

**INCLUDES COUNTY MAYORS AND HIGHWAY
DEPARTMENTS ONLY:**

Legislative Authority	<u>Number of Counties</u>		
	Budgeting	Accounting	Purchasing
1957 Fiscal Control Act	9	10	12
1981 Financial Management Act	1	1	1
Private Act/Charter	8	9	8
County Resolution or Agreement	2	2	1
Total	20	22	22
Grand Total	56	52	54

**TENNESSEE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS
CENTRALIZED BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING,
AND PURCHASING SYSTEMS BY COUNTY
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015**

INCLUDES ALL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES:

	Population 2010 Census	Budgeting	Accounting	Purchasing
A. 1957 Fiscal Control Act				
1. Anderson	75,129	X	X	X
2. Blount (1) (2)	123,010	X	X	X
3. Johnson (2) (3)	18,244	X	X	-
4. Loudon	48,556	X	X	X
5. Roane	54,181	X	X	X
B. 1981 Financial Management Act				
1. Bedford	45,058	X	X	X
2. Campbell	40,716	X	X	X
3. Carter	57,424	X	X	X
4. Claiborne	32,213	X	X	X
5. Cumberland	56,053	X	X	X
6. Fentress	17,959	X	X	X
7. Franklin	41,052	X	X	X
8. Giles	29,485	X	X	X
9. Henderson	27,769	X	X	X
10. Hickman	24,690	X	X	X
11. Jefferson	51,407	X	X	X
12. Lincoln	33,361	X	X	X
13. Madison	98,294	X	X	X
14. McMinn	52,266	X	X	X
15. Monroe	44,519	X	X	X
16. Morgan	21,987	X	X	X
17. Rhea	31,809	X	X	X
18. Robertson	66,283	X	X	X
19. Scott	22,228	X	X	X
20. Union	19,109	X	X	X
21. Warren	39,839	X	X	X
22. Weakley	35,021	X	X	X
23. White	25,841	X	X	X
C. 1993 Budget Law				
1. Blount (2)	123,010	X	-	-
2. Decatur	11,757	X	-	-
3. DeKalb (2)	18,723	X	-	-
4. Hardin	26,026	X	-	-
5. McNairy	26,075	X	-	-

**TENNESSEE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS
CENTRALIZED BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING,
AND PURCHASING SYSTEMS BY COUNTY (Cont.)**

	<u>Population</u> 2010 Census	<u>Budgeting</u>	<u>Accounting</u>	<u>Purchasing</u>
INCLUDES ALL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES (Cont.):				
D. Private Act/Charter (Note A)				
1.	DeKalb (2) 18,723	-	-	X
2.	Hardeman 27,253	-	-	X
3.	Hawkins (2) (4) 56,833	-	-	X
4.	Knox 432,226	X	X	X
5.	Rutherford 262,604	X	X	-
6.	Sullivan (2) (5) 156,823	-	-	X
7.	Williamson (2) (6) 183,182	X	-	-
INCLUDES COUNTY MAYORS/HIGHWAY DEPARTMENTS ONLY:				
A. 1957 Fiscal Control Act				
1.	Cheatham (2) (7) 39,105	X	X	-
2.	Cocke 35,662	X	X	X
3.	Coffee (2) 52,796	-	-	X
4.	Dickson 49,666	X	X	X
5.	Greene 68,831	X	X	X
6.	Lawrence 41,869	X	X	X
7.	Maury (2) (8) 80,956	-	-	X
8.	Montgomery 172,331	X	X	X
9.	Overton 22,083	X	X	X
10.	Polk 16,825	-	-	X
11.	Washington 122,979	X	X	X
12.	Johnson (2) 18,244	-	-	X
13.	Sullivan (2) 156,823	X	X	-
14.	Williamson (2) 183,182	-	X	X
B. 1981 Financial Management Act				
1.	Wilson (9) 113,993	X	X	X

**TENNESSEE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS
CENTRALIZED BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING,
AND PURCHASING SYSTEMS BY COUNTY (Cont.)**

	<u>Population</u> <u>2010 Census</u>	<u>Budgeting</u>	<u>Accounting</u>	<u>Purchasing</u>
INCLUDES COUNTY MAYORS/HIGHWAY DEPARTMENTS ONLY (Cont.):				
C. Private Act/Charter				
1. Carroll	28,522	X	X	X
2. Davidson	626,681	X	X	X
3. Hamilton	336,463	X	X	X
4. Marshall	30,617	X	X	X
5. Maury (2)	80,956	X	X	-
6. Meigs	11,753	X	X	X
7. Shelby	927,644	X	X	X
8. Sumner (10) (11)	160,645	X	X	X
9. Cheatham (2)	39,105	-	-	X
10. Hawkins (2)	56,833	-	X	-
D. County Resolution or Agreement				
1. Hamblen (12)	62,544	X	X	X
2. Coffee (2)	52,796	X	X	-

Footnotes:

- (1) Blount County has adopted both the 1957 Fiscal Control Acts and the 1993 Budget Law. The 1993 Budget Law supersedes the 1957 acts on some budgeting issues.
- (2) County is also listed in another section of this report.
- (3) Purchasing is governed by the 1957 Fiscal Control Acts but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (4) Accounting is governed by a private act but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (5) Accounting and budgeting are governed by the 1957 Fiscal Control Acts but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (6) Accounting and purchasing are governed by the 1957 Fiscal Control Acts but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (7) Purchasing is governed by a private act but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.

**TENNESSEE COUNTY GOVERNMENTS
CENTRALIZED BUDGETING, ACCOUNTING,
AND PURCHASING SYSTEMS BY COUNTY (Cont.)**

- (8) Accounting and budgeting are governed by a private act but only includes the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Department.
- (9) Effective July 1, 1995, the Wilson County School Department was removed from the provisions of the Financial Management Act of 1981.
- (10) Sumner County operates under a private act that provides for a centralized accounting, budgeting, and purchasing system for all funds administered by the Offices of County Mayor, Director of Schools, and Highway Superintendent. This act also provides that the School Department and/or Highway Department may perform any of the accounting, purchasing, or budgeting functions for their departments with the approval of the County Commission. The County Commission has approved for the School Department to perform their own accounting, budgeting, and purchasing and has approved the Highway Department to perform their own purchasing.
- (11) On June 18, 2012, Sumner County adopted Chapter 70, Private Acts of 2012, which provides for a central system of accounting, budgeting, and purchasing covering all funds of the county. However, the act states in part "...this 2012 Finance Act shall not serve as authorization to remove any purchasing activities or authority from the School System." Sumner County plans to fully implement the private act by June 18, 2016.
- (12) The county mayor and County Commission have entered into a formal contract establishing a centralized accounting, budgeting, and purchasing system under the direction of a Finance Office for all funds administered by the Offices of County Mayor and Highway Superintendent.

Notes:

- (A) The Metropolitan Charter of Moore County provides for a centralized system of purchasing; however, a centralized system had not been implemented as of June 30, 2015.

EXHIBIT C

**TENNESSEE COUNTIES ACHIEVING THE
CERTIFICATE OF ACHIEVEMENT FOR
EXCELLENCE IN FINANCIAL REPORTING
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015**

County

1. Anderson
2. Bedford
3. Bradley
4. Davidson
5. Hamilton
6. Knox
7. Rutherford
8. Shelby

Exhibit D

**ALPHABETICAL LIST OF COUNTIES
WITH CENTRALIZED CAFETERIA SYSTEMS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015**

1. Anderson	31. Hamblen	61. Montgomery
2. Bedford	32. Hamilton	62. Moore
3. Benton	33. Hancock	63. Morgan
4. Bledsoe	34. Hardeman	64. Obion
5. Blount	35. Hardin	65. Overton
6. Bradley	36. Hawkins	66. Perry
7. Campbell	37. Haywood	67. Pickett
8. Cannon	38. Henderson	68. Polk
9. Carroll *	39. Henry	69. Putnam
10. Carter	40. Hickman	70. Rhea
11. Cheatham	41. Houston	71. Roane
12. Chester	42. Humphreys	72. Rutherford
13. Claiborne	43. Jackson	73. Scott
14. Clay	44. Jefferson	74. Sequatchie
15. Cocke	45. Johnson	75. Sevier
16. Coffee	46. Knox	76. Smith
17. Crockett	47. Lauderdale	77. Stewart
18. Cumberland	48. Lawrence	78. Sullivan *
19. Davidson	49. Lewis	79. Sumner *
20. Decatur	50. Lincoln	80. Tipton
21. DeKalb	51. Loudon	81. Unicoi
22. Dickson	52. Macon	82. Union
23. Dyer	53. Madison	83. Van Buren
24. Fayette	54. Marion	84. Warren
25. Fentress	55. Marshall	85. Washington
26. Franklin	56. Maury	86. Wayne
27. Giles	57. McMinn	87. Weakley
28. Grainger	58. McNairy	88. White
29. Greene	59. Meigs	89. Williamson
30. Grundy	60. Monroe	90. Wilson

* Centralized through the General Purpose School Fund