

101. If implementation of Edison's Wave 3 Financial component were to be postponed, would your agency experience any negative effects? What would the negative effects be?

Wave 1

1. we will have to work in 2 systems
2. It's hard to figure out how to process transactions with other agencies who are not on Edison. Very confusing.
3. it would continue to complicate our billing for services since we would have to continue to operate in two accounting environments for an extended period.
4. Journals between Edison/nonEdison agencies more complicated and confusing.
5. Cash Management functions, Bank Recon, ACH & Wires are in wave 3. We are currently working in both environments to process these transactions. By delaying Wave 3 it is adding more work to these processes.

Wave 2

1. Transactions between agencies on Edison and not on Edison would be slowed down.
2. It would continue to require use to duplicate transactions between Wave 2 and 3 by having to key in both Edison and STARS. Also I believe the Wave 3 agencies will significantly impact the processing time in Edison. The system seems to have trouble dealing with the volume now without the biggest volume agencies online.
3. Part of the problem is being half in and half out of Edison. Postponing would require more work in transactions between agencies.
4. If F&A Shared Services can get GOCCC (31880) converted to Edison, then all our client agencies will be in the same system and we won't have to be toggling back and forth between systems.
5. Still working in both the old system and the new system. We need everyone to move completely to the new system as soon as possible. Thanks.

Wave 3

1. The time and effort it takes to prepare for go live. Updating role mapping, speed charts, etc. These tasks are very time consuming and would have to be updated if Edison were postponed again.
2. duplicate accounting in two systems Re-completing role mapping-very timely
3. The implementation process has been long and grueling and requires constant efforts. Postponement will prolong that issue. On the other hand, because we are not sure of

outputs and outcomes of go live (especially based upon other live departments, much less the known success of our interfaces) we may be more conflicted if we went live on July 1st. With interfaces in place and more completely tested, our confidence would be significantly different.